

University of Groningen

## Enhancing social outcomes from mega urban transport development

Lee, Ju Hyun

DOI:  
[10.33612/diss.136047572](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.136047572)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Lee, J. H. (2020). *Enhancing social outcomes from mega urban transport development: An integrated approach to transport and spatial planning*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.136047572>

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# REFERENCES

## A

- ADB (2006) *Sustainable Urban Transport in Asia: Making the Vision a Reality*. Manila: Asian Development Bank. <https://www.adb.org/publications/sustainable-urban-transport-asia-making-vision-reality> Accessed at 15/11/2018
- ADB (2014) *Toward a Sustainable Appraisal Framework for Transport*. Manila: Asia Development Bank. <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/31198/sdwp-031.pdf> Accessed at 15/01/2018
- ADBI (2017) *Infrastructure for Asian Connectivity*. Manila: Asia Development Bank Institute.
- ADBI (2019) *Transit-Oriented Development Policies and Station Area Development in Asian Cities*. Manila: Asian Development Bank Institute. <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/502516/adbi-wp947.pdf> Accessed at 10/12/2018
- Adli, S., Chowdhury, S., Shiftan, Y. (2019) Justice in public transport systems: A comparative study of Auckland, Brisbane, Perth and Vancouver. *Cities* 90, 88–89 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.031>
- Alexander, E.R. (2005) Institutional transformation and planning: From institutionalization theory to institutional design. *Planning Theory* 4(3): 209–223.
- Arts, J. (1998) *EIA Follow-up, On the Role of Ex Post Evaluation in Environmental Impact Assessment*. Groningen: Geo Press.

## B

- Babbies, E. (2010) *The Practice of Social Research*. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Baker, M., Hinks, S. (2009) Infrastructure delivery and spatial planning: The case of English local development frameworks. *The Town Planning Review* 80(2): 173–199.
- Banister, D. (2008) The sustainable mobility paradigm. *Transport Policy* 15(2): 73–80.
- Barnett, C., Bridge, G. (2017) The situations of urban inquiry: Thinking problematically about the city. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 40(6): 1186–1204.
- Bertolini, L. (2012) Integrating mobility and urban development agendas: A manifesto. *disP: The Planning Review* 48(1): 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02513625.2012.702956>
- Bertolini, L., Curtis, C., Renne, J. (2012) Station area projects in Europe and beyond: Towards transit-oriented development?. *Built Environment* 38(1): 31–50.
- Beyazit, E. (2010) Evaluating social justice in Transport: lessons to be learned from the capability approach. *Transport Review* 31(1): 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2010.504900>
- Bhatta, B. (2010) *Analysis of Urban Growth and Sprawl from Remote Sensing Data*. Berlin: Springer.
- Bolay, J. (2019) *Urban Planning Against Poverty: How to Think and Do Better Cities in the Global South*. New York: Springer.
- Booth, P. (2012) *The Unearned Increment: Property and the Capture of Betterment Value in Britain and France*. Cambridge: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- Bramley, G., Power, S. (2009) Urban form and social sustainability: The role of density and housing type. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 36, 30–48.
- Brenner, N. (2000) The urban question: Reflections on Henri Lefebvre, urban theory and the politics of scale. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 24(2): 361–378.
- Brenner, N., Mayer, M., Marcues, P. (2011) *Cities for people, not for profit: Critical Urban Theory and the Right to the City*. London: Routledge.

- Burgess, R. (2003) The compact city debate: A global perspective. In R. Burgess, M. Jenks (Eds.), *Compact Cities: Sustainable Urban Forms for Developing Countries* (pp. 9–24). London: Routledge.
- Burton, E. (2000) The compact city: Just or just compact? A preliminary analysis. *Urban Studies* 37(11): 1969–2001.

## C

- CABE (2009) Stratford Station. <http://www.cabe.org.uk/case-studies/stratford-station> Accessed at 15/11/2018
- Campbell, S. (2003) *Case Studies in Planning: Comparative Advantages and the Problem of Generalization*. [www.caup.umich.edu/workingpapers](http://www.caup.umich.edu/workingpapers) Accessed at 07/09/2019
- Castells, M. (2010) *The Rise of Network Society*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Cervero, R. (2001) Efficient urbanisation: Economic performance and the shape of the metropolis. *Urban Studies* 38(10): 1651–1671.
- Cervero, R. (2009) Transport infrastructure and global competitiveness: Balancing mobility and livability. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 626(1): 210–225.
- Cervero, R. (2013) Linking urban transport and land use in developing countries. *Journal of Transport and Land Use* 6(1): 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.5198/jtlu.v6i1.425>
- Cervero, R., Murakami, J. (2009) Rail and property development in Hong Kong: Experiences and extensions. *Urban Studies* 46(10): 2019–2043.
- Chang, N., Kim, S., Lee, H. (2007) *Key issues of urban regeneration of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Institute.
- Chapin, T.S. (2012) Introduction: from growth control, to comprehensive planning, to smart growth: Planning emerging fourth wave. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 78(1): 5–15.
- Cho, J. (2005) Urban planning and urban sprawl in Korea. *Urban Policy and Research* 23(2): 203–218.
- Choi, J., Gu, J., Lee, S., Kim, T., Seung, H. (2012) History, current issues, and perspectives of station area development of Seoul. *Journal of Korea Planning Association* 376, 3–19.
- Colantonio, A. (2009) *The Social Sustainability Assessment Framework*, presented at OISD — EIB, Workshop on Social Sustainability and Urban Regeneration in EU Cities, Feb 2009, Oxford Institute for Sustainable Development
- Cole, D., McGinnis, D. (2017) *Elinor Ostrom and the Bloomington School of Political Economy: A Framework for Policy Analysis*. Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Crescenzi, R., Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2011) Reconciling top-down and bottom-up development policies. *Working Papers 2011–03*. Instituto Madrileño de Estudios Avanzados (IMDEA) Ciencias Sociales. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/imd/wpaper/wp2011-03.html> Accessed at 31/10/2019
- Creswell, W. (2013) *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Curtis, C. (2012) Delivering the “D” in transit-oriented development: Examining the town planning challenge. *The Journal of Transport and Land-Use* 5(3): 83–99.
- Curtis, C., James, B. (2004) An institutional model for land use and transport integration. *Urban Policy and Research* 22(3): 277–297.
- CUTR (2000) *Community Impact Assessment: A Handbook for Transportation Professionals*. Miami: Central Environmental Management Office, Florida Department of Transportation. <https://rosap.nhtl.gov/view/dot/39380> Accessed 27/01/2020.

## D

- DCLG (2017) *The Value, Impact and Delivery of the Community Infrastructure Levy*. Department for Communities and Local Government. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/589635/CIL\\_Research\\_report.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/589635/CIL_Research_report.pdf) Accessed 21/02/2020
- Dimitriou, H., Ward, J., Wright, P. (2015) *Lessons for Mega Transport Project Developments and the Future of UK Cities and Regions*. Final Report, OMEGA Centre, London: University College London. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/499051/future-of-cities-mega-transport-projects.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/499051/future-of-cities-mega-transport-projects.pdf) Accessed 07/01/2016

## F

- Fainstein, S. (2008) Mega-projects in New York, London and Amsterdam. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 32(4): 768–785. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2008.00826.x>
- Fisher, T. (2009) Spatial ethics. *The Ethical Design of Places*. 40, 152–157.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2011) Case study. In N. Denzien, Y. Lincoln (Ed.), *The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Research*, 4th ed. (pp. 301–316). Thousand Oaks: Sage.

## G

- Geurs, T., Boon, W., van Wee, B. (2009) Social impacts of transport: Literature review and the state of the practice of transport appraisal in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. *Transport Reviews* 29(1): 69–90.
- Geurs, T., van Wee, B. (2004) Accessibility evaluation of land-use and transport strategies: Review and research directions. *Journal of Transport Geography* 12, 127–140.
- Giddens, A. (1985) Time, space and regionalization. In D. Gregory and J. Urry (Ed.), *Social Relations and Spatial Structures* (pp. 265–295). Houndmills: Macmillan.
- Givoni, M., Macmillen, J., Banister, D., Feitelson, E. (2013) From policy measures to policy packages. *Transport Reviews* 33(1): 1–20.
- GLA (2016) *Economic Evidence Base for London 2016*. London: Great London Authorities. <https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/research-and-analysis/economic-analysis/economic-evidence-base-london-2016> Accessed 22/09/2018
- Go, J. (2008) *Improving Accessibility to Public Transportation through the Analysis of Subway Structure of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Institute.
- Goodin, R.E. (2007) Why social justice is not all that matters: Justice as the first virtue. *Ethics* 117 (3): 413–432.
- Gospodini, A. (2005) Urban development, redevelopment and regeneration encouraged by transport infrastructure projects: The case study of 12 European cities. *European Planning Studies* 13(7): 108–111.
- Graham, S., Marvin, S. (2001) *Splintering Urbanism: Networked Infrastructures, Technological Motilities and the Urban Condition*. London: Routledge.
- Grube-Cavers, A., Patterson, Z. (2014) Urban rapid rail transit and gentrification and Canadian urban centres: A survival analysis approach. *Urban Studies* 52(1): 178–194.

## H

- Hall, P. (1980) *Great Planning Disasters*. Berkeley: Penguin.
- Hall, P. (2002) *Cities of Tomorrow: An Intellectual History of Urban Planning and Design in the Twentieth Century*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hall, P. (2008) Urban renaissance, urban village, smart growth: find the differences. In T. Hass (Ed.), *New Urbanism and Beyond: Designing Cities for the Future* (pp. 48–51). New York: Rizzoli.
- Hall, P., Hickman, R. (2008) Moving the city East: Explorations into contextual public transport-oriented. *Development Planning Practice and Research* 23(3): 323–339.
- Hall, P., Pain, K. (2006) *The Polycentric Metropolis*. London: Earthscan.
- Hamnett, C. (2004) *Unequal City: London in the Global Arena*. London: Routledge.
- Healey, P. (2003) Collaborative planning in perspective. *Planning Theory* 2(2): 101–123.
- Healey, P. (2009) In search of the “strategic” in spatial strategy making. *Planning Theory and Practice* 10(4): 439–457.
- Heeres, N. (2017) *Towards Area-oriented Infrastructure Planning — National Road Network Development in a Local Spatial Context*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Heeres, N., Tillema, T., Arts, J. (2012) Integration in Dutch planning of motorways: From “line” towards “area-oriented” approaches. *Transport Policy* 24, 148–158.
- Heeres, N., Tillema, T., Arts, J. (2016) Dealing with interrelatedness and fragmentation in road infrastructure planning: An analysis of integrated approaches throughout the planning process in the Netherlands. *Planning Theory and Practice* 17(3): 421–443.
- Heeres, N., van Dijk, T., Arts, J., Tillema, T. (2017) Coping with functional interrelatedness and fragmentation at the infrastructure/land use interface: The potential merits of a design approach. *Journal of Transport and Land Use* 10(1): 409–435.
- Hennink, M., Hutter, I., Bailey, A. (2010) *Qualitative Research Methods*. London: Sage.
- Herspergerm, A., Oliveira, E., Pagliarin, S., Palk, G., Verburg, P., Bolliger, J., Gradinaru, S. (2018) Urban land-use change: The role of strategic spatial planning. *Global Environmental Change* 51, 31–42.
- Hoch, C. (2002) Evaluating plans pragmatically. *Planning Theory* 1(1): 53–75.
- Hoekveld, G., Needham, B. (2013) Planning practice between ethics and the power games: making and applying an ethical code for planning agencies. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 37(5): 1638–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2012.01146.x>
- Homsy, G., Liu, Z., Warner, E. (2019) Multilevel governance: framing the integration of top-down and bottom-up policymaking. *International Journal of Public Administration* 42(7): 572–582.
- Hooghe, L., Marks, G. (2003) Unravelling the central state, but how? types of multilevel governance. *American Political Science Review* 97(2): 233–243.
- Howlett, M. (2009) Governance modes, policy regimes and operational plans: A multi-level nested model of policy instrument choice and policy design. *Policy Sciences* 42 (1): 73–89.
- Hull, A. (2008) Policy integration: What will it take to achieve more sustainable transport solutions in cities? *Transport Policy* 15(2): 94–103.
- Hwang, G., Cho, Y. (2008) Traffic impacts of transit-oriented urban regeneration. *Journal of Korea Society of Civil Engineering* 28(4): 469–476.

## I

- IUS (2018) *Global Power City Index 2018*. Tokyo: The Mori Memorial Foundation.

## J

- Jenks, M. (2019) *Compact City*. Wiley. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118568446.eurs0530> Accessed 10/12/2019
- Jeong, Il., Lee, B., Kim, H. (2011) *Improving Fairness of Infrastructure Development Policy for the Fair Society*, Anyang: Korea Research Institute of Human Settlement.
- Jin, J., Jin, E. (2015) The effects of changes in subway system and job accessibility on changes in employment density of employment centers in Seoul. *Journal of Korea Planning Association* 50(7): 111–130. <https://doi.org/10.17208/jkpa.2015.11.50.7.111>
- JLEISU (2004) *Working Paper No. 54 Summary Final Report*, prepared by the Jubilee Line Extension Impact Unit, University of Westminster. London: University of Westminster.
- Jones, P. (2010) Assessing the wider impacts of the Jubilee Line Extension in East London. In R. Hickman, M. Givoni, D. Bonilla, D. Banister (Eds.), *An International Handbook on Transport and Development* (pp 318–336). Edward Elgar. <https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9780857937254/9780857937254.00028.xml> Accessed 05/04/2016
- Jones, P., Lucas, K. (2012) The social consequences of transport decision-making: clarifying concepts, synthesising knowledge and assessing implications. *Journal of Transport Geography* 21, 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2012.01.012>
- Joo, M., Lee, S., Lee, J (2017) Improvements and operational features of pre-negotiation system for urban planning change — focus on cases of Seoul Metropolitan City. *Journal of the Urban Design Institute of Korea* 18(4): 23–38.
- Jun, M. (2020) The effects of polycentric evolution on commute times in a polycentric compact city: A case of the Seoul Metropolitan Area. *Cities*, 98. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264275118317207>

## K

- Kang, M. (2012) Three inherent conflicts over compact city: Social welfare vs individual interest. *Journal of the Korean Regional Development Association* 24(4): 27–40.
- Kaparos, G., Skayannis, P., Pavleas, S. (2010) Athens metro project profile, *OMEGA Research Programme Study Report*, Department of Planning and Regional Development, University of Thessaly.
- Kim, I., Lee, S., Kim, S. (2012) *Diversified Management of Land-Use and Density in Commercial Districts of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Institute.
- Kim, K., Suh, Y. (2016) The impacts of city comprehensive plans on the urban spatial structure of Seoul since 1960s: Focused on the implementation of “the Multi-Centric City Concept”. *Journal of the Urban Design Institute of Korea Urban Design* 17(3): 5–23.
- Kim, T., Gu, J., Park, J. (2008) Comparative study on land prices and influencing factor in subway station area based on walking-distance. *Seoul Studies* 9, 93–105.
- Klijn, E., Koppenjan, J. (2016) *Governance Networks in the Public Sector*. London: Routledge.
- KOSIS (2000) Percentage of population who travelled by subway more than one hour to work by district. <http://kosis.kr/index/index.do>, Accessed 31/11/2018
- KOSIS (2010) Percentage of population who travelled by subway more than one hour to work by district. <http://kosis.kr/index/index.do>, Accessed 31/11/2018
- KOSIS (2015) Percentage of population who travelled by subway more than one hour to work by district. <http://kosis.kr/index/index.do>, Accessed 31/11/2018

KOTI (2012) *History of Subway Development of Korea*, Ministry of Land and Infrastructure.  
 KRA (2012) *Map of Public Transport Accessibility*. <http://www.korass.or.kr/> Accessed 27/01/2020.

## L

- Lee, C., Lee, K., Chung, S. (2015) *Role of Transportation Planning for Urban Regeneration Projects in Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Development Institute.
- Lee, J. (2018) Spatial ethics as an evaluation tool for the long-term impacts of mega urban projects: An application of spatial ethics multi-criteria assessment to Canning Town regeneration projects. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning* 13(4): 541–555.
- Lee, J., Kim, I. (2009) *Polycentric City Development of Seoul to Become a Megacity*. Seoul: Seoul Institute.
- Lee, J., Arts, J., Vanclay, F. (2020) Examining the social outcomes from urban transport infrastructure: Long-term consequences of spatial changes and varied interests at multiple levels. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 5907
- Lee, K. (2017) *History of Transport Policy of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Development Institute.
- Lee, S., Yi, C., Hong, S. (2013) Urban structural hierarchy and the relationship between the ridership of the Seoul Metropolitan Subway and the land-use pattern of the station areas. *Cities* 35, 69–77.
- Lee, W., Na, Y., Park, S., Lee, B., Cho, C. (2012) Transportation equity analysis based on the metropolitan household survey. *Journal of Korean Urban Geography Association* 15(1): 75–86.
- Legacy, C., Curtis, C., Sturup, S. (2012) Is there a good governance model for the delivery of contemporary transport policy and practice? An examination of Melbourne and Perth. *Transport Policy* 19, 8–16.
- Levinson, D. (2002) Identifying winners and losers in transportation. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of Transportation Research Board*, 1812, 179–185.
- Lichfield, D. (2009) Community impact analysis and evaluation: Nat Lichfield's view of planning. *Local Economy* 24(8): 658–668.
- Lim, H. (2007) *Developing Transit-Supportive Neighbourhood Model in Seoul Development*. Seoul: Seoul Development Institute.
- Lim, H., Kim, Y. (2011) Investigation of mixed nature of urban spatial structure of station areas in Seoul, *Journal of Environmental Studies* 50(12): 47–61.
- Litman, T. (2019) *Evaluating Transportation Land-Use Impacts Considering the Impacts, Benefits and Costs of Different Land-Use*. Victoria: Victoria Transport Policy Institute. <https://www.vtpi.org/landuse.pdf>
- Litman, T. (2020) *Evaluating Transportation Equity: Guidance for incorporating Distributional Impacts in Transportation Planning*. Victoria: Victoria Transport Policy Institute. <https://www.vtpi.org/equity.pdf>
- London-tube.com. (2018) *London Tubemap — A new angle on the London Underground*. <http://www.london-tubemap.com/>, Accessed 04/12/ 2018
- Lucas, K., van Wee, B., Maat, K. (2016) A method to evaluate equitable accessibility: combining ethical theories and accessibility-based approaches. *Transportation* 43, 473–490.



## M

- Madanipour, A. (1996) *Design of Urban Space: An Inquiry into a Social-Spatial Process*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Madanipour, A. (2013) Researching space, transgressing epistemic boundaries. *International Planning Studies* 18(3): 372–388.
- Maeng, D. (2010) *Change in Spatial Structure of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Development Institute.
- Mailde, C., Morfoulaki, M. (2019) *Sustainable Urban Mobility Planning in Metropolitan Regions. Sustainable Urban Mobility Planning and Governance Models in EU Metropolitan Regions*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Marantz, N. (2015) What do community benefits agreements deliver? Evidence from Los Angeles. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 81(4): 251–267.
- Marsden, G., May, D. (2006) Do institutional arrangement make a difference to transport policy and implementation? Lesson for Britain. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 24, 771–789.
- Martens, K. (2006) Basing transport planning on principles of social justice. *Berkeley Planning Journal* 19, 1–7.
- Martens, K. (2012) Justice in transport as justice in accessibility: applying Walzer’s “Spheres of Justice” to the transport sector. *Transportation* 39(6): 1035–1053.
- Martens, K. (2017) *Transport Justice: Designing Fair Transportation Systems*. London: Routledge.
- Mathur, S. (2019) An evaluative framework for examining the use of land value capture to fund public transportation projects. *Land Use Policy* 86, 357–364.
- Mboumoua, I. (2017) Revisiting the growth coalition concept to analyse the success of the Crossrail London megaproject. *European Planning Studies* 25(2): 314–331.
- McGinnis, M. (2011) An introduction to IAD and the language of the Ostrom Workshop: A simple guide to a complex framework. *Policy Studies Journal* 39(1): 169–183.
- McKenzie, S. (2004) *Social sustainability: towards Some Definitions*. Hawke Research Institute, University of South Australia.
- Mehta, V (2014). Evaluating public space. *Journal of Urban Design* 19(1): 53–88.
- MHCLG (2005) Land use by Borough and Ward. <https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/> Accessed 28/10/2018
- MHCLG (2011) Land use by Borough and Ward. <https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/> Accessed 28/10/2018
- Miller, D., Patassini, D. (2005) *Beyond Benefit Cost Analysis: Accounting for Non-market Values in Planning Evaluation*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Mitchell, B. (2003) *Jubilee Line Extension: from Concept to Completion*. London: ICE Publishing.
- Mottee, L., Arts, J., Vanclay, F., Miller, F., Howitt, R. (2020) Reflecting on how social impacts are considered in transport infrastructure project planning: Looking beyond the claimed success of Sydney’s South West Rail Link. *Urban Policy and Research* <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08111146.2020.1730787>
- MOLIT. (2009) *Territorial Planning and Development Law*. Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transport of Korea.
- MOLIT. (2018) *District Unit Plan Guideline*. Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transport of Korea.
- Morrison-Saunders, A., J. Arts (2004) *Assessing Impact: Handbook of EIA and SEA Follow-up*. London: Earthscan.

## N

- Naess, P. (2015) Cost-Benefit analyses of transportation investments: Neither critical nor realistic. *Journal of Critical Realism* 5(1): 32–60.
- Neuman, M. (2005) The compact city fallacy. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 25(1): 11–26.
- NEF (2007) *Measuring Real Value: a DIY Guide to Social Return on Investment*. London: New Economic Foundation.

## O

- OECD (2012) *Compact City Policies A Comparative Assessment*. OECD.
- OGD (1995) *1995 District Unit Plan*. Seoul: OGD.
- OMEGA Centre (2011) *Project Profile UK Jubilee Line Extension*. OMEGA Centre, London: University College London.
- Ong, P., Miller, D. (2005) *Accessible Cities and Regions: A Framework for Sustainable Transport and Urbanism in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*. Berkely: UC Berkely Centre for Future Urban Transport.
- ONS (2015a). Indices of deprivation in 2015. <http://dclgapps.communities.gov.uk/imd/idmap.html>, Accessed 01/12/2018
- ONS (2015b) LSOA Atlas. <https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/lsoa-atlas>, Accessed 01/02/2019
- Ostrom, E. (2005) *Understanding Institutional Diversity*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (2007) A diagnostic approach for going beyond panaceas. *PNAS* 104(39): 15181–15187
- Ostrom, E. (2008) Doing institutional analysis digging deeper than markets and hierarchies. In C. Ménard and M. Shirley (Eds.), *Handbook of New Institutional Economics* (pp.819–848). Berlin: Springer.
- Ostrom, E. (2011) Background on the institutional analysis and development framework. *Policy Studies Journal* 39(1): 7–27.

## P

- Palmateer, C., Owen, A., Levinson, D. (2016) *Accessibility Evaluation of the Metro Transit A-Line*. Accessibility Observatory at the University of Minnesota. <https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/180900> Accessed 08/03/2019
- Pereira, R., Schwanen, T., Banister, D. (2017) Distributive justice and equity in transportation. *Transport Review* 37(2): 170–191.
- Pflieger, G., Rozenblat, C. (2010) Urban networks and network theory: The city as the connector of multiple networks. *Urban Studies* 47(13): 2723–2735.
- Pharoah, T. (2003) *Jubilee Line Extension Development Impact Study*. London: University of Westminster.
- Priemus, H., Flyvbjerg, B., van Wee, B. (2008) *Decision-making on Mega-projects: Cost-Benefit Analysis, Planning and Innovation*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Prince's Foundation for Building Community. (2014) *Housing London: A mid-rise solution*. [http://www.housinglondon.org/Housing-London\\_DIGITAL.pdf](http://www.housinglondon.org/Housing-London_DIGITAL.pdf). Accessed 10/03/2020

## R

## R

- Rahim, M., Daud, W. (2015) *Scholars Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences* 3 (1B): 105–109.
- Randolph, B. (2006) Delivering the compact city in Australia: Current trends and future implications. *Urban Policy and Research* 24(4): 473–490.
- Revington, N. (2015) Gentrification, transit and land use: Moving beyond neoclassical theory. *Geography Compass* 9(3): 152–163.
- Rodrigue, J. (2017) *The Geography of Transport Systems*. New York: Routledge.
- Rydin, Y. (2010) *Governing for Sustainable Urban Development*. London: Earthscan.

## S

- Salkind, N.J. (2010) *Encyclopedia of Research Design*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE.
- Scherrer, F.P. (2019) Assessing transit-oriented development implementation in Canadian cities: An urban project approach. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 39(4): 469–481.
- Searle, G., Darchen, S., Huston, S. (2014) Positive and negative factors for transit oriented development: Case studies from Brisbane, Melbourne and Sydney. *Urban Policy and Research* 32(4): 437–457.
- Seoul Metro (2008) Subway Map. <http://www.seoulmetro.co.kr/kr/index.do?device=PC> Accessed 08/08/2018
- SMG (1990) *Seoul Plan for 2000s*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (1997) *Seoul Development Plan*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (2003) *30 Year History of Subway Development of Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (2006) *Seoul Plan 2020*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (2014) *Seoul District Unit Plan Development Standard*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (2015) *Sync Seoul*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government. <https://www.seoulsolution.kr/en/content/3323> Accessed 25/10/2017
- SMG (2016) *Catalyst for Sustainable Development: Seoul Urban Rail Policy*. Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
- SMG (2019) *Seoul Transport Statistics*. <http://news.seoul.go.kr/traffic/archives/289> Accessed 27/01/2020.
- Stanley, J.K. (2014) Land-use/transport integration: Starting at the right place. *Research in Transportation Economics* 48, 381–388.
- Stanley, J., Stanley, J., Hansen, R. (2017) *How Great Cities Happen: Integrating People, Land Use and Transport*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Stead, D., Meijer, E. (2009) Spatial planning and policy integration: concepts, facilitators and inhibitors. *Planning Theory and Practice* 10(3): 317–332.
- Stolp, A., Groen, W., van Vliet, J., Vanclay, F. (2002) Citizen values assessment: incorporating citizens' value judgements in environmental impact assessment. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal* 20(1): 11–23.
- SRDS. (2008) Household Incomes of Seoul 2008. <http://data.si.re.kr> Accessed 31/11/2018.
- SRDS. (2011) Household Incomes of Seoul 2011. <http://data.si.re.kr> Accessed 31/11/2018.
- Stopher, P., Stanley, J. (2009) *Introduction to Transport Policy: A Public Policy View*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

- Straatemeier, T., Bertolini, L. (2008) Joint accessibility design: Framework developed with practitioners to integrate land use and transport planning in the Netherlands. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2077, 1–8.
- Sung, E., Lim, H. (2013) *Building Agreement of Inhabitants Initiative for a Better Neighbourhood*. Seoul: Architecture and Urban Research Institute of Korea.
- Sung, H., Choi, C. (2017) The link between metropolitan planning and transit-oriented development: An examination of the Rosario Plan in 1980 for Seoul, South Korea. *Land-Use Policy* 63, 514–522.
- Sung, H., Oh, J. (2011) Transit-oriented development in a high-density city: identifying its association with transit ridership in Seoul, Korea. *Cities* 28: 70–82.
- Sung, H., Park, J., Jeong, J. (2010) A study on forming the priority system of public transit for urban development projects. *Research Paper of the Korea Transport Institute*. The Korea Transport Institute.
- Switzer, A., Bertolini, L., Grin, J. (2013) Transitions of mobility systems in urban regions: a heuristic framework. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning* 15(2): 141–160.

## T

- Tan, W., Janssen-Jansen, Bertolini, L. (2014) The role of incentives in implementing successful transit-oriented development strategies. *Urban Policy and Research* 32(1): 33–51.
- te Brommelstroet, M., Bertolini, L. (2010) Integrating land use and transport knowledge in strategy-making. *Transportation* 37, 85–104.
- TfL (2013) *Travel in London. Report 6*. London: TfL. <http://content.tfl.gov.uk/travel-in-london-report-6.pdf>, Accessed 05/02/02
- TfL (2014) *WebCAT*, <https://tfl.gov.uk/info-for/urban-planning-and-construction/planning-with-webcat/>, Accessed 07/06/2018
- TfL (2016) *WebCAT*, <https://tfl.gov.uk/info-for/urban-planning-and-construction/planning-with-webcat/>, Accessed 01/02/2018
- TfL (2019) *WebCAT*, <https://tfl.gov.uk/info-for/urban-planning-and-construction/planning-with-webcat/>, Accessed 01/02/2019

## U

- UN-HABITAT (2009) *Planning Sustainable Cities: Global Report on Human Settlements*. Nairobi: UN-HABITAT.
- UN-HABITAT (2013) *Planning and Design for Sustainable Urban Mobility: Global Report on Human Settlements 2013*. Nairobi: UN-HABITAT.
- UN-HABITAT (2016) *Urbanization and Development: Emerging Futures*. UN-HABITAT. <http://wcr.unhabitat.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/WCR-2016-Full-Report.pdf> Accessed 08/11/2017
- UN-HABITAT (2017) *New Urban Agenda*. <http://habitat3.org/wp-content/uploads/NUA-English.pdf> Accessed 27/01/2020
- Upton, R. (2002) Ethics, values and theory. *The Town Planning Review* 73(3): 253–269

## V

- Vancloy, F. (2002) Conceptualising social impacts. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 22(3): 183–211.
- Vancloy, F. (2015) The potential application of qualitative evaluation methods in European regional development: Reflections on the use of Performance Story Reporting in Australian natural resource management. *Regional Studies* 49(8): 1326–1339.
- Vancloy, F. (2017) The potential contribution of social impact assessment to megaproject developments. In M. Lehtonen, P. B. Joly, L. Aparicio (Eds.), *Socioeconomic evaluation of megaprojects: Dealing with uncertainties* (pp. 181–198). London: Routledge.
- Vancloy, F., Baines, J., Taylor, C.N. (2013) Principles for ethical research involving humans: Ethical professional practice in impact assessment Part I. *Impact Assessment & Project Appraisal* 31(4): 243–253.
- van Geet, M., Lenferink, S., Arts, J., Leendertse, W. (2018) Understanding the ongoing struggle for land use and transport integration: Institutional incongruence in the Dutch national planning process. *Transport Policy* 73, 84–100.
- van Wee, B. (2012) How suitable is CBA for the ex-ante evaluation of transport projects and policies? A discussion from the perspective of ethics. *Transport Policy*: 19(1): 1–7.
- van Wee, B., Geurs, K. (2011) Discussing equity and social exclusion in accessibility evaluations. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research* 11(4): 350–367.
- Vecchio, G., Porreca, R., Rivera, D. (2020) Socio-spatial concerns in urban mobility planning: insights from competing policies in Quito. *Sustainability* 12(7): 2923 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072923>
- Veeneman, W. (2018) Multi-level governance in public transport: Governmental layering and its influence on public transport service solutions. *Research in Transportation Economics* 69, 430–437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2018.07.005>

## W

- Wegener, M. (2004) Overview of land use transport models. In: D. Hensher, K. Button, K. Haynes, P. Stopher (Eds.), *Handbook of Transport Geography and Spatial Systems* (pp.127–146). Bingley: Emerald.
- Wegener, M., Fürst, F. (1999) *Land-use Transport Interaction: State of the Art*. Dortmund: IRPUD.
- Willis, J. (1997) *Extending the Jubilee Line: The Planning Story*. London: London Transport.
- World Bank (2017) *Transforming the Urban Space through Transit-Oriented Development: The 3V Approach*. World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/26405> Accessed 08/03/2020

## Y

- Yin, R. (2014) *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (5<sup>th</sup> edition). Thousand Oaks: Sage.

## Z

- Zanon, B. (2011) Infrastructure network development, re-territorialisation process and multilevel territorial governance: A case study in northern Italy. *Planning Practice and Research* 26(3): 325–347.
- Zhang, L., Chen, J., Hao, Q., Li, C. (2018) Measuring the NIMBY effect in urban China: the case of waste transfer stations in metropolis Shanghai. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment* 33, 1–18.